REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication:	Anal Life #2 The Road Trip
Other Known Title:	Anal Life #2
Format:	DVD
Director/Author:	Martin Del Toro
Producer/Publisher:	Rocky Black
Country of Origin:	USA
Language:	English
Applicant:	The Film and Video Labelling Body Inc.
Distributor:	Vixen Direct Ltd
Classification:	Objectionable.
Descriptive Note:	Not Applicable.
Display Conditions:	Not Applicable
Date of entry in Register:	13 June 2006
Date of direction to issue a label:	Not Applicable
OFLC No:	601031

Unexcised version of publication:

	Component	Running time
Menu:	Play Movie Scene Selection DVD Xtra	
Feature:	Anal Life #2 The Road Trip	139.32
Other timed components:	FBI Warning / Age / Anarchy Films Notices Behind The Scenes Picture Gallery Trailers (x5) Web Site Notice Address Notice	0.28 10.42 0.59 8.10 0.09 0.08
Total running time:		160.08

Excisions: No Excisions Recommended

Reasons for excisions:

Not Applicable

Summary of reasons for classification:

The publication entitled *Anal Life #2 The Road Trip* is classified as: Objectionable.

The publication presents depictions of sexual activity between men and women. Most scenes begin with a man and a woman having an argument, during which the man behaves aggressively. The men's aggression always continues during the sexual activity, to the point that much of the sex has a violent tone. The scenarios, behaviour and treatment seem calculated to reinforce negative stereotypes of women, and to present them as inferior beings to men. The women performers allow themselves to be treated in such a way and at times encourage the treatment. This is likely to have the effect of creating the impression that women enjoy being treated with contempt, hostility and aggression.

The publication also contains material that causes it to tend to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct under s3(2)(d) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act). Although this material could possibly be removed, the aggressive, highly degrading, dehumanising and demeaning elements are so pervasive throughout the publication that the Classification Office was not able to offer excisions to the distributor of the publication because these would be too extensive to be practicable.

The classification of objectionable interferes with adults' freedom of expression as set out in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. However, this right needs to be balanced against the likely injury to the public good from making available a publication that represents women as inferior and that promotes attitudes towards women that most New Zealanders would find disturbing and unacceptable. The classification of objectionable reflects the concerns of New Zealand's democratic society, as set out by Parliament in the FVPC Act, that such publications should not be available. This is the minimum restriction that can be applied to prevent injury to the public good.